

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
for the  
Middle District of Alabama

United States of America

v.

Susan Grammer

Date of Original Judgment: 11/08/2021

Date of Previous Amended Judgment: \_\_\_\_\_

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

Case No: 1:21cr102-RAH-2

USM No: 49944-509

George B. Bulls, II

Defendant's Attorney

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION  
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is:

☒ **DENIED.** ☐ **GRANTED** and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (*as reflected in the last judgment issued*) of \_\_\_\_\_ months **is reduced to** \_\_\_\_\_.

(See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

To the extent the defendant seeks relief under Amendment 821, the panel does not believe she is eligible for a sentence reduction because she received a downward variance below the bottom of the amended guideline range. USSG §1B1.10(b)(2)(A)-(B). However, the panel notes that the defendant's motion references section 404 of the First Step Act, as well as the compassionate release statute, 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A).

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated \_\_\_\_\_ shall remain in effect.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Order Date: 06/05/2024

  
Judge's Signature

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
(if different from order date)

R. Austin Huffaker, Jr., U.S. District Judge

Printed name and title